

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/006,734	11/30/2001	Louis G. Carreiro	82736	1319
7:	590 09/03/2003			
Office Of Counsel			TW. A COURT	
Naval Undersea Warfare Center			EXAMINER	
Division, Newport, Bldg 112T			CHANEY, CAROL DIANE	
Newport, RI 02841-1708			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1745	11
			DATE MAILED: 09/03/2003	9

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary    Examiner						
Carol Chaney  The MAILING DATE f this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Peri d for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 November 2001.  2a) Responsive to communication is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is	CARREIRO ET AL.					
The MAILING DATE f this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Peri d for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 November 2001  - This action is FINAL.  - 2b) This action is non-final.  - Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 November 2001.  2a) Responsive to communication is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 November 2001  This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 November 2001</u>.</li> <li>This action is <b>FINAL</b>. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is</li> </ol>						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
,						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disp sition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,5-9 and 12-14</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3,4,10 and 11</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	,					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						

Art Unit: 1745

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 5-7, and 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 5-7 and 12-14 recite an electrolyte which includes "a reaction of aluminum..." Although an electrolyte might include aluminum or an alkali, it is unclear how an electrolyte can include a reaction. The claims are interpreted as reciting an electrolyte which includes the <u>reaction product</u> of aluminum with an alkaline solution.

Regarding claims 5 and 12, the phrase "such as" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 8, 9, 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dow et al., "Enhanced electrochemical performance in the development

Art Unit: 1745

of the aluminum/hydrogen peroxide semi-fuel cell", *Journal of Power Sources* (1997) 65(1-2) 207-212.

Dow et al. study the effects of additives to the electrolytes of aluminum/hydrogen peroxide semi-fuel cells to minimize the effects of parasitic reactions. Various combinations of gallium oxide and sodium stannate additives, providing gallium, oxygen and sodium components, are used. (See page 210 Table 1.) Minimization of parasitic reactions will prevent the formation of an oxide layer on the surface of the aluminum anode. (See Dow et al., page 208, first column.) The electrolyte disclosed by Dow et al. includes NaOH. (See page 208 column 2, line 23.) The reaction products of aluminum with the alkaline solution will be present in the electrolyte. (See page 208, lines 15-24.) The aluminum used is essentially (99.999%) pure. (See page 208, first column, lines 40-41.)

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dow et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/006,734

Art Unit: 1745

As discussed above, Dow et al. disclose ap0plicants' invention essentially as claimed, with the exception that Dow et al. do not specifically disclose aluminum alloy anodes. However, Dow et al. suggest investigations of new aluminum alloys based upon their investigations. (Note Dow et al. abstract.) Therefore, the use of aluminum alloys rather than pure aluminum in aluminum/hydrogen peroxide cells would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art based upon the disclosure of Dow et al.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3, 4, 10 and 11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The use of sodium gallate as an electrolyte additive in an aluminum hydrogen peroxide semi-fuel cell is not suggested by the prior art. The prior art suggests gallia as an additive, but not sodium gallate.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Zaromb, US Patent 4,416,954 discloses electrochemical generators using aluminum.

Tune, US Patent 4,322,483 A discloses a method of utilizing empty aluminum beverage cans to provide an energy source

Licht et al., US Patent 5,413,881 disclose aluminum/sulfur batteries

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carol Chaney whose telephone number is (703) 305-3777. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri 8:00am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on 703-308-2383. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Carol Chaney
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1745